XXIVth WORLD ROAD CONGRESS Mexico City 2011

POTENTIAL EFFECTS OF HIGHER CAPACITY VEHICLES

Stephen Perkins

- International Transport Forum at the OECD
- Head of Research



stephen.perkins@oecd.org



Peak-Period Congestion on High-Volume Truck Portions of the National Highway System: 2002

Note: High-volume truck portions of the National Highway System carry more than 10,000 trucks per day, including freight-hauling long-distance trucks, freight-hauling local trucks, and other trucks with six or more tires. Highly congested segments are stop-and-go conditions with volume/service flow ratios greater than 0.95. Congested segments have reduced traffic speeds with volume/service flow ratios between 0.75 and 0.95. Source: U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, Office of Freight Management and Operations, Freight Analysis Framework, version 2.2, 2007.

Peak Period Highway Truck Congestion: 2035



US Highway Freight Bottlenecks: Truck Hours of Delay: 2006



National and international road freight in the EU

(Million tonne-km, trend, seasonally adjusted)



Source: ITF Statistics

National and international rail freight in the United States and Russian Federation

(Million tonne-km, trend, seasonally adjusted)



Source: ITF Statistics

External trade, percentage change from pre-crisis peak Jun-08

(Tonnes and current values, monthly trend, seasonally adjusted)



United States external trade with Asia, percentage change from pre-crisis peak Jun-08



Responses to Congestion

- Build
- Inform
- Manage
 - electronic distance charges
 - port gate pricing
- Higher capacity vehicles

Conditions for Introduction of HCVs

- Safety
 - lane departure warning
- Axle and bridge loading limits
- Network access limits
 - geometry / weight
- GPS monitoring



European Case: Two HCVs replace three conventional trucks

Conventional trucks: 40t or 44t, 16.5 m 40t, 18.75 with trailor

European Modular System trucks: 60t, 25.25m



Impacts of Introducing HCVs

- Fewer trucks, less congestion and emissions
- Profits for early adopters
- Then competition will bring down prices
- Stimulate growth
- Increase tkm
- Undermine benefits?
 - congestion relief
 - CO₂ emissions
- Shift from rail?



European Studies

- TRL 2008 Longer and Heavier Vehicles, Effects in the UK
- TML 2008 (EC) 🔊 Effects of Adapting Weights and Dimensions
- JRC 2009 (EC) Introducing Mega-Trucks
- EC Consortium (ongoing) Effects of Adapting Weights and Dimensions



International



TRL 2008

- 60 t trucks
- NPV of benefits 1 6.5 billion pounds
- Infrastructure investment costs unknown.
- 25% reduction in CO2 emissions per tkm, with little rebound effect
- Modal shift from rail large in some markets, especially deep sea containers

8-18% of all rail tkm migrating to 60 t trucks

TML 2008

- 60 t trucks
- Benefits greater than costs
- 12% reduction in CO2 emissions overall
- Modal shift from rail small overall, 4%, though could be large in some markets from inland waterways, 3%

Criticism

• underestimated elasticities, and therefore induced road freight growth and modal shift

Elasticities

- Graham and Glaister 2004
- Found wide range of estimates depending on commodities and markets, relatively few studies
- (TML figure within the central range if a little low)
- And also modelling approach, long and short term often confused
- No firm conclusion
- Road price to rail demand cross elasticities, even less research, extremely dependent on market

Criteria for Modal Choice

- Transit times
- Cost
- Reliability
- Frequency
- Flexibility
- etc.





Express

- Refrigerated
- Retail distribution
- Components
- Cars
- Tanks
- Waste
- Construction
- Steel
- Forestry
- Block trains
- Containers
- Wagon load

Transferability of results

- New EC study by market
- Road-rail cross elasticities very variable
- Sensitive to relative size of market shares
- UK therefore maybe extreme case in Europe
- European results will <u>not</u> be exportable to North America, Australia, Japan



Thank you.

